



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

## RECENT LEGAL LITERATURE

---

THE INSTITUTES—A TEXTBOOK OF THE HISTORY AND SYSTEM OF ROMAN PRIVATE LAW. By Rudolph Sohm, Professor of German Law and Ecclesiastical Law in the University of Leipzig. Translated by James Crawford Ledlie, B.C.L., M.A., of the Middle Temple, Barrister-at-Law, and of Lincoln College, Oxford. With an Introduction by Erwin Grueber, Dr. Jur. M.A., of Balliol College, Oxford. Professor of Roman Law and Jurisprudence in the University of Munich, Late Deputy Regius Professor of Civil Law and Reader in Roman Law in the University of Oxford. Third Edition. Oxford: At the Clarendon Press. London, New York and Toronto: Henry Froude. Also sold by Stevens & Son, Limited, 119 and 120 Chancery Lane, London, 1907, pp. xv, 606.

The third edition of this excellent manual of Roman Law has the almost unique characteristic, for a later edition, of being somewhat less bulky than its predecessors. It contains thirty-three pages less than the second edition. This decrease in number of pages seems, however, to have been brought about principally by a change in the typographical arrangement rather than by any material cutting of the text. The Introduction by Professor Grueber is somewhat shorter than his introduction to the previous edition, but this is more than compensated for by the expansion in the section of the book dealing with the contract of sale. Professor Grueber has omitted from the present edition a part of his discussion of the German Civil Code, which had gone into effect just before the publication of the second edition of the Institutes. This third English edition is based on the twelfth German edition, which was published in 1905.

The six pages [pp. 397-403] that are added on the contract of sale increase very materially the value of the treatment of this subject, in the explanation of what is meant by the terse statements of the second edition in regard to the warranty against eviction and the warranty against latent defects. The statement in the old edition that, "wherever the object of a contract of sale is a specifically determined article (the rule is different where the object is generically determined), the *periculum rei* must be borne by the purchaser as from the moment of the conclusion of the contract," is supplanted in the last edition by a very illuminating discussion of the difference in this respect between the sales of things "specifically determined and things generically determined."

It may be suggested by one who has had several years' experience in teaching classes of some size from this text-book that a like fuller treatment of several other difficult points would make the book more useful to the American student. This might be applied to the subject of correal and solidary obligation and to some explanation of the puzzling fact that "consideration" as such does not appear in the Roman contract. A like expansion in the treatment of juristic possession would also be helpful, with the presentation in foot notes or otherwise, of Ihering's historical explanation of Savigny's logical theory.

J. H. D.